

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,304	08/29/2001	Albert S. Deutsch	PISCES 00.01 DIV	8148
75	90 01/10/2003			
Norman P. Soloway Hayes, Soloway, Hennessey, Grossman & Hages, P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street			EXAMINER	
			FUNK, STEPHEN R	
Tucson, AZ 85701			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			Re - 2854	10
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,304	08/29/2001	Albert S. Deutsch	PISCES 00.01 DIV	8148
75	12/19/2002			
Norman P. Soloway			EXAMINER	
Hayes, Soloway, Hennessey, Grossman & Hages, P.C. 130 W. Cushing Street Tucson, AZ 85701			FUNK, ST	EPHEN R
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rucson, AZ 6.	5701		2854	
•			DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

Deutsch et al.

Application No. 09/941,304

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Examiner Stephen Funk 2854

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	The WAILING DATE Of this communication appears t						
Period 1	for Reply	TO EVENE 2 MONTH/S) EDOM					
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing	mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.						
If NO	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.					
- Failure - Any re	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this	is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
	I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 23, 20						
1) [X] 2a) [X]	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action						
3) ⊔	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Dienoci	ition of Claims	333,10, 1000 0.2.1.1, 101 2.2.1					
-		is/are pending in the application.					
4) 💢							
4		is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	i de la companya de					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>26-31</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applica	ation Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.					
ŕ	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
12)							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some* c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) [
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachr	nent(s)	_					
The Hotelog of Hotelogod Citad & To Got !		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
31 🗸 🗓	3) V Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5, 6, 9						

Page 2



Art Unit: 2854

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ma et al. (US 5,466,653). Ma et al. teach a printing plate having a substrate with a coating which has been imparted with insolubility with an alkaline material. See column 6 lines 24 - 26, column 7 lines 15 - 43, and claims 9, 10, and 21 of Ma et al., for example.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al. in view of Bratt (US 4,072,528). Ma et al. in column 6 refer to Bratt for suitable substrates. In column 5 lines 23 - 44 Bratt disclose the conventionality of an aluminum substrate. It would have

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/941,304

Art Unit: 2854

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the plate of Ma et al. with an aluminum substrate in view of Bratt so as to provide an economical dimensionally stable substrate. With respect to claim 28 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation to provide the coating with a thickness from 1 to 3 microns.

Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Leenders et al. (US 5,501,150). Leenders et al. teach a printing plate having a photosensitive coating wherein the part defining the image is insoluble in a developer and contains a higher concentration of alkaline materials. See column 3 lines 37 - 55, column 4 lines 16 - 19, column 4 line 62 - column 5 line 4, columns 6 - 8, and column 9 lines 30 - 33 of Leenders et al. Note that the non-image part being the hydrophilic support necessarily implies that the non-image part is removed by a developer but the image part is not.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenders et al. With respect to claim 31 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art through routine experimentation to provide the coating with a thickness from 1 to 3 microns.

Applicant's arguments filed Oct 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claim 26. Ma et al. disclose that the esterification agent itself contains the alkaline material in column 7 lines 15 - 43 even though the claim does not require that the alkaline material be responsible for the change in solubility, the claim does not preclude heating although Ma et al. disclose in column 9 lines 24 - 25 that heating is optional, and Ma et al. teach

Application/Control Number: 09/941,304 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

that the plate may be lithographic in column 6 line 16 and not necessarily a relief image even though the claim does not preclude a relief image or even recite that the plate is a lithographic printing plate.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Funk at telephone number (703) 308-0982. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday, except Wednesdays, from 7:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Hirshfeld, can be reached at (703) 305-6619.

The fax number for *official* papers is (703) 308-7722, 7724. The fax number for those wishing an auto-reply verifying receipt of *official* papers is (703) 872-9318 or for After-Final actions is (703) 872-9319. Upon consulting with the examiner *unofficial* papers only may be faxed directly to the examiner.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

Stephen Funk December 18, 2002

PRIMARY EXAMINER